

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Journal of Number Theory 107 (2004) 392–405

**JOURNAL OF
Number
Theory**

<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt>

Characters and q -series in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$

Daniel Corson,^{a,*} David Favero,^b Kate Liesinger,^c and
Sarah Zubairy^d

^a 111 Bay State Rd, MIT, Boston, MA 02215-1700, USA^b 2324 Lake Pl, Minneapolis, MN 55405-2472, USA^c 1820 Sims Rd, NW, Oak Grove, MN 55011-9263, USA^d CPU 275093, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627-5093, USA

Received 1 December 2003

Communicated by D. Goss

Abstract

In 1988, G. Andrews, F. Dyson, and D. Hickerson related the arithmetic of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$ to certain q -series. We have found q -series that relate in a similar way to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$. In addition to proving analogous results, including an explicit formula for a partition function, we also obtain a generating function for the values of a particular L -function.

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: 11P81; 11M41

1. Introduction and statement of results

In [3], Andrews et al., studied the relationship between the arithmetic of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$ and certain partition functions. This connection allowed them to prove new results about combinatorial objects by taking a non-combinatorial perspective. They were interested in the following q -series:

$$R(q) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n+1)/2}}{(1+q)(1+q^2)\cdots(1+q^n)} = 1 + q - q^2 + 2q^3 - 2q^4 + \cdots$$

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: danl@mit.edu (D. Corson), david.favero@brown.edu (D. Favero), kate@uchicago.edu (K. Liesinger), sz005j@mail.rochester.edu (S. Zubairy).

$$L(q) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n^2}}{(1-q)(1-q^3)\cdots(1-q^{2n-1})} = -2q - 2q^2 - 2q^3 + 2q^7 + \cdots.$$

They showed that the coefficients of $R(q)$ and $L(q)$ are determined by the coefficients of a certain Hecke L -function associated with the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$. Using the arithmetic of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$, the combinatorics of q -series, and basic hypergeometric series, they proved a number of results about the coefficients of

$$qR(q^{24}) - \frac{1}{q}L(q^{24}),$$

including multiplicativity and lacunarity. They also showed that the coefficients attain every integer infinitely often. Examples of q -series with these properties are rare and surprising. In the words of Dyson [6],

This pair of functions $R(q)$ and $L(q)$ is today an isolated curiosity. But I am convinced that, like so many other beautiful things in Ramanujan's garden, it will turn out to be a special case of a broader mathematical structure. There probably exist other sets of two or more functions with coefficients related by cross-multiplicativity, satisfying identities similar to those which Ramanujan discovered for his $R(q)$. I have a hunch that such sets of cross-multiplicative functions will form a structure within which the mock theta-functions will also find a place. But this hunch is not backed up by any solid evidence. I leave it to the ladies and gentlemen of the audience to find the connections if they exist.

In this paper we find q -series analogous to $R(q)$ and $L(q)$, associated in a similar way to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$. We relate a sum of these basic hypergeometric series with a Hecke L -function, using the machinery of Bailey pairs. We prove analogous combinatorial results to those in [3]; using the arithmetic of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, we establish combinatorial properties of a certain partition function. In addition, we find a generating function for values of the associated L -function.

Throughout the paper we employ the standard notation

$$(a)_n := (a; q)_n := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^k).$$

Let $O_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ be the ring of integers of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$. In O_K define the norm of any ideal $\mathfrak{a} = (x + y\sqrt{2})$ as $N(\mathfrak{a}) := |x^2 - 2y^2|$.

Define the q -series $W_1(q)$ and $W_2(q)$ as

$$W_1(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(q)_n (-1)^n q^{\binom{n+1}{2}}}{(-q)_n} = 1 - q + 2q^2 - q^3 - 2q^5 + 3q^6 + \cdots, \quad (1.1)$$

$$W_2(q) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1; q^2)_n (-q)^n}{(q; q^2)_n} = -2q - 2q^3 + 2q^4 + 2q^6 + 2q^8 - 2q^9 + \cdots \quad (1.2)$$

Let χ be the character

$$\chi(\mathfrak{a}) := \begin{cases} 1 & N(\mathfrak{a}) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{16}, \\ -1 & N(\mathfrak{a}) \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{16}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

and define $a(n)$ for any positive integer n by

$$a(n) := \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \\ N(\mathfrak{a})=n}} \chi(\mathfrak{a}). \quad (1.4)$$

Theorem 1.1. *We have*

$$qW_1(q^8) + \frac{1}{q} W_2(q^8) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)q^n. \quad (1.5)$$

Remark. The $a(n)$'s are constructed such that the following holds ($\Re(s) > 1$):

$$L(\chi, s) := \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{a})}{N(\mathfrak{a})^s} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a(n)}{n^s}. \quad (1.6)$$

In particular, $L(\chi, s)$ is a standard Hecke L -function which is well known to have an analytic continuation to \mathbb{C} [2].

Corollary 1.2. *The following identity is true:*

$$qW_1(-q^8) + \frac{1}{q} W_2(-q^8) = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \text{ odd}}} b(n)q^n,$$

where the $b(n)$'s are defined by

$$b(n) := \sum_{\substack{n \text{ odd} \\ \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \\ N(\mathfrak{a})=n}} 1.$$

Remark. The $b(n)$'s are constructed such that the following holds ($\Re(s) > 1$):

$$\zeta_K^*(s) := \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{a})^s} = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \text{ odd}}} \frac{b(n)}{n^s}.$$

Notice $\zeta_K^*(s)$ is essentially the usual Dedekind ζ -function, but the only difference is the omission of the Euler factor corresponding to the prime ideal above 2. Here $\zeta_K^*(s)$ has an analytic continuation to \mathbb{C} with the exception of a simple pole at $s = 1$ (for example, see [8]).

Consider the q -series identity in (1.5) with $q = e^{-t}$. This gives a well-defined t -series, since the substitution of $e^{-t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!}$ into (1.1) amounts to performing formal operations (addition, multiplication, and taking positive integral powers) of power series.

Theorem 1.3. *The following is a generating function for L -values.*

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-t} W_1(e^{-8t}) - e^t \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(e^{-8t}; e^{-16t})_n}{(-e^{-16t}; e^{-16t})_n} &= \sum_{n \geq 0} L(\chi, -n) \frac{(-1)^{n+1} t^n}{n!} \\ &= -10t - \frac{7949}{3} t^3 - \frac{26765521}{12} t^5 - \dots \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.1 is proven in two steps. In Section 2, using the theory of Bailey pairs, we find alternate expressions for $W_1(q)$ and $W_2(q)$, and in Section 3 we prove the theorem by revealing the connection to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ of these other representations. In Section 4 we prove Corollary 1.2. In Section 5 we find an explicit formula for the coefficients of our q -series, and provide combinatorial results. In Section 6 we establish the generating function for L -values.

2. Hecke identities

Here, we employ the theory of Bailey pairs to obtain alternate q -series expressions for $W_1(q)$ and $W_2(q)$.

Definition 2.1. Two sequences α_n and β_n , form a Bailey pair relative to a if for all $n \geq 0$

$$\beta_n = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\alpha_r}{(q)_{n-r} (aq)_{n+r}}.$$

Theorem 2.2 (Bailey's Lemma). *If α_n and β_n form a Bailey pair relative to a , then*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(\rho_1)_n (\rho_2)_n (aq/\rho_1 \rho_2)^n}{(aq/\rho_1)_n (aq/\rho_2)_n} \alpha_n = \frac{(aq)_{\infty} (aq/\rho_1 \rho_2)_{\infty}}{(aq/\rho_1)_{\infty} (aq/\rho_2)_{\infty}} \sum_{n \geq 0} (\rho_1)_n (\rho_2)_n (aq/\rho_1 \rho_2)^n \beta_n,$$

provided that both sums converge absolutely.

A proof can be found in [1].

Theorem 2.3. *The following identity is true:*

$$W_1(q) = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ |j| \leq n}} (-1)^{n+j} q^{2n^2+n-j^2} (1 - q^{2n+1}). \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Recall that

$$W_1(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(q)_n (-1)^n q^{\binom{n+1}{2}}}{(-q)_n}.$$

In Bailey's Lemma, let $\rho_1 \rightarrow \infty$, $\rho_2 = q$ and $a = q$. Note that when $\rho_1 \rightarrow \infty$ then $(\rho_1)_n \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1}\right)^n \rightarrow (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}}$. This yields

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \alpha_n = \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} (q)_n q^n \beta_n. \quad (2.2)$$

By [4], the following form a Bailey pair relative to $a = q$:

$$\alpha_n = \frac{q^{(3n^2+n)/2} (1 - q^{2n+1})}{1 - q} \sum_{j=-n}^n (-1)^j q^{-j^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_n = \frac{1}{(-q)_n}.$$

Substitution into (2.2) gives the result. \square

Theorem 2.4. *The following identity is true:*

$$W_2(q) = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ -n \leq j \leq n-1}} (-1)^n q^{n(2n-1) - (j^2-j)} (1 + q^{2n}). \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Recall that

$$W_2(q) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1; q^2)_n (-q)^n}{(q; q^2)_n}.$$

Make the substitution $q \rightarrow \sqrt{q}$ and shift the sums via $n \rightarrow n+1$. The left-hand side becomes

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)_{n+1} (-\sqrt{q})^{n+1}}{(\sqrt{q})_{n+1}} = \frac{-2\sqrt{q}}{1 - \sqrt{q}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-q)_n (-\sqrt{q})^n}{(q^{3/2})_n}.$$

The right-hand side becomes

$$-\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{(2n^2+3n+1)/2} (1 + q^{n+1}) \left(\sum_{j=0}^n q^{-j(j+1)/2} + \sum_{j=-n-1}^{-1} q^{-j(j+1)/2} \right).$$

Flip the last sum by taking $i = -(j+1)$ to get

$$-\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{(2n^2+3n+1)/2} (1+q^{n+1}) \left(\sum_{j=0}^n q^{-j(j+1)/2} + \sum_{i=0}^n q^{-i(i+1)/2} \right),$$

and then combine sums

$$-\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{(2n^2+3n+1)/2} (1+q^{n+1}) \left(2 \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-j(j+1)/2} \right).$$

It remains to show

$$-2\sqrt{q} \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{n^2+3n/2} (1+q^{n+1}) \left(\sum_{j=0}^n q^{-j(j+1)/2} \right) = \frac{-2\sqrt{q}}{1-\sqrt{q}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-q)_n (-\sqrt{q})^n}{(q^{3/2})_n}.$$

The following is a Bailey pair relative to $a = q^2$:

$$\alpha_n = \frac{q^{n^2+n}(1-q^{2n+2})}{(1-q^2)} \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-j(j+1)/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_n = \frac{(-q)_n}{(q)_n (-q^{3/2})_n (q^{3/2})_n},$$

as can be seen by taking $b = -q^{1/2}$ and $c = q^{1/2}$ in Theorem 2.2 in [4]. Apply Bailey's lemma to this pair, choosing $\rho_1 = -q^{3/2}$ and $\rho_2 = q$, to obtain

$$\frac{1}{(1+q)} \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{n^2+3n/2} (1+q^{n+1}) \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-j(j+1)/2} = \frac{(1+\sqrt{q})}{(1-q^2)} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-\sqrt{q})^n (-q)_n}{(q^{3/2})_n}.$$

Multiplying both sides by $-2\sqrt{q}(1+q)$ and simplifying yields the identity. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Theorem 1.1 will follow from (2.1) and (2.3) once we know that the only ideals \mathfrak{a} with $\chi(\mathfrak{a}) \neq 0$ have $N(\mathfrak{a}) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$. The following lemma establishes that.

Lemma 3.1. *There are no ideals of norm $\pm 3 \pmod{8}$ in O_K .*

Proof. Consider any ideal $\mathfrak{a} = (x + y\sqrt{2})$ with $x^2 - 2y^2 = 8n + 3$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Look mod 2 to see x must be odd, $x = 2k + 1$. Then $4k^2 + 4k + 1 - 2y^2 = 8n + 3$, so $2k^2 + 2k - y^2 = 4n + 1$. Looking mod 2 again shows y must also be odd, $y = 2m + 1$. Then $2k^2 + 2k - 4m^2 - 4m - 1 = 4n + 1$, so $k(k+1) - 2m^2 - 2m = 2n + 1$. If we look mod 2 again, we have that $k(k+1)$ is odd. But that is impossible. The proof for $N(\mathfrak{a}) = -3 \pmod{8}$ is similar. \square

The next two theorems complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.2. *The following identity is true:*

$$qW_1(q^8) = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}}} a(n)q^n. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. The fundamental solution of $x^2 - 2y^2 = 1$ (the solution with x and y minimal positive) is $(3, 2)$. From [4, Lemma 3, p. 396], we know that we choose a unique representative of each ideal $\mathfrak{a} = (x + y\sqrt{2})$ in O_K by restricting $x \geq 0$ and $-\frac{2}{3+1}x < y \leq \frac{2}{3+1}x$.

Suppose $x^2 - 2y^2 = 8m + 1$. Looking mod 2, we see x is odd. Write $x = 2k + 1$. The inequalities become $k \geq 0$ and $|y| \leq k$. Note that since $N(\mathfrak{a}) \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, from (1.3) we can say $\chi(\mathfrak{a}) = (-1)^{\frac{N(\mathfrak{a})-1}{8}}$. This gives the following:

$$\sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}}} a(n)q^n = \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ |y| \leq k}} (-1)^{\frac{k^2+k}{2} - \frac{y^2}{4}} q^{(2k+1)^2 - 2y^2}.$$

Now we split into two sums, corresponding to the cases $k = 2n + 1$ and $2n$. Since y must always be even, take $y = 2j$.

$$\sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ |j| \leq n}} (-1)^{n+j+1} q^{(4n+3)^2 - 8j^2} + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ |j| \leq n}} (-1)^{n+j} q^{(4n+1)^2 - 8j^2}.$$

Combining these two sums we get the result:

$$\sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ |j| \leq n}} (-1)^{n+j} q^{(4n+1)^2 - 8j^2} (1 - q^{8(2n+1)}). \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.3. *The following identity is true:*

$$\frac{1}{q} W_2(q^8) = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ n \equiv -1 \pmod{8}}} a(n)q^n. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Suppose $x^2 - 2y^2 = 8m - 1$. From (1.3), $\chi(\mathfrak{a}) = (-1)^{\frac{N(\mathfrak{a})+1}{8}}$. Again, x must be odd, $x = 2k + 1$, and now y is also odd, $y = 2j + 1$. To ensure a unique representative of each ideal, we use the inequalities above, $k \geq 0$ and $|y| \leq k$. Consider the two sums, $k = 2n + 1$ and $k = 2n$.

$$\sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ n \equiv -1 \pmod{8}}} a(n)q^n = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ -n-1 \leq j \leq n}} (-1)^{n+1} q^{(4n+3)^2 - 2(2j+1)^2} + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ -n \leq j \leq n-1}} (-1)^n q^{(4n+1)^2 - 2(2j+1)^2}.$$

Shifting the first sum and combining them we get the result,

$$\sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ -n \leq j \leq n-1}} (-1)^n q^{(4n-1)^2 - 2(2j+1)^2} (1 + q^{16n}). \quad \square$$

4. Proof of Corollary 1.2

Corollary 1.2 gives the result of Theorem 1.1 on the trivial character

$$|\chi|(\mathfrak{a}) = \begin{cases} 1, & N(\mathfrak{a}) \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{16}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with the particularly simple associated L -function $\zeta_K^*(s)$. Instead of repeating the methods used to prove Theorem 1.1, however, we can use Theorem 1.1 more directly.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Let $\gamma := e^{2\pi i/16}$, be a primitive 16th root of unity. Substitute $q \rightarrow \gamma q$ in (3.1):

$$\gamma q W_1((\gamma q)^8) = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) \equiv 1 \pmod{8}}} \chi(\mathfrak{a}) (\gamma q)^{N(\mathfrak{a})}.$$

Dividing through by γ shows

$$q W_1(-q^8) = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) \equiv 1 \pmod{8}}} \chi(\mathfrak{a}) \gamma^{N(\mathfrak{a})-1} q^{N(\mathfrak{a})}.$$

Recall from (1.3) that $\chi(\mathfrak{a}) = (-1)^{\frac{N(\mathfrak{a})-1}{8}}$ when $N(\mathfrak{a}) \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, thus

$$q W_1(-q^8) = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) \equiv 1 \pmod{8}}} q^{N(\mathfrak{a})} = \sum_{n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}} b(n) q^n.$$

Substitute $q \rightarrow \gamma q$ in (3.2),

$$\frac{1}{\gamma q} W_2(\gamma q) = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ n \equiv -1 \pmod{8}}} a(n) (\gamma q)^n.$$

Multiplying through by γ gives

$$q W_2(-q^8) = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) \equiv -1 \pmod{8}}} \chi(\mathfrak{a}) \gamma^{N(\mathfrak{a})+1} q^{N(\mathfrak{a})}.$$

Similarly, $\chi(\mathfrak{a}) = (-1)^{\frac{N(\mathfrak{a})+1}{8}}$ when $N(\mathfrak{a}) \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$, thus

$$qW_2(-q^8) = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subset O_K \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) \equiv -1 \pmod{8}}} q^{N(\mathfrak{a})} = \sum_{n \equiv -1 \pmod{8}} b(n)q^n.$$

Since there are no ideals of norm $\pm 3 \pmod{8}$ in O_K , the result follows. \square

5. Combinatorial interpretation

The q -series $W_1(q)$ has interesting combinatorial properties. It is related to the Rogers–Ramanujan-type identity [10, Eq. (8)]:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-q)_n q^{\binom{n+1}{2}}}{(q)_n} = \frac{(-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}}{(q; q^2)_{\infty}}.$$

It is also a generating function for certain types of partitions. If

$$W_1(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(q)_n (-1)^n q^{\binom{n+1}{2}}}{(-q)_n} = \sum_{n \geq 0} A(n)q^n,$$

then $A(n)$ counts the number of colored partitions of n into *quasi-distinct* parts where the largest yellow part is less than or equal to the number of purple parts, weighted by $(-1)^{P+Y}$ where P is the largest purple part and Y is the number of yellow parts. Here, *quasi-distinct* means no two parts can have both the same value and color, but there may be two parts of the same value and different colors. Notice from (3.1) that $A(n) = a(8n+1)$.

Example. When $n = 4$, the colored partitions of this type are 4 and $3 + 1'$ with weight 1, and $3 + 1$ and $2 + 1 + 1'$ with weight -1 (unprimed numbers are purple parts, primed numbers are yellow parts). So $A(4) = 0$. There are no ideals of norm 33 in O_K , so $a(8 \cdot 4 + 1) = 0$ as well.

Example. When $n = 5$, the colored partitions of this type are $4 + 1$ and $3 + 1 + 1'$ with weight 1; and $5, 4 + 1', 3 + 2$, and $2 + 2' + 1$ with weight -1 . So $A(5) = -2$. The ideals of norm 41 in O_K are $(7 + 2\sqrt{2})$ and $(7 - 2\sqrt{2})$, and since χ is -1 for both these ideals because $41 \equiv -7 \pmod{16}$, we also have $a(41) = -2$.

The following two results establish a general formula for the $a(n)$'s, which we use to study $A(n)$.

Lemma 5.1. *The $a(n)$'s are multiplicative. That is, if $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ then $a(nm) = a(n)a(m)$.*

Proof. Recall the definition of $a(n)$

$$a(n) := \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subset O_K \\ N(\mathfrak{a})=n}} \chi(\mathfrak{a}).$$

Suppose we have an ideal \mathfrak{a} with $N(\mathfrak{a}) = nm$. It is well known that $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a UFD, so factor the ideal $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k$. Then $nm = N(\mathfrak{p}_1)N(\mathfrak{p}_2) \cdots N(\mathfrak{p}_k)$, since the norm is multiplicative. Because n and m are coprime, there must be a (set theoretic) partition $\{n_1, \dots, n_r\} \cup \{m_1, \dots, m_s\} = \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $n = N(\mathfrak{p}_{n_1})N(\mathfrak{p}_{n_2}) \cdots N(\mathfrak{p}_{n_r})$ and $m = N(\mathfrak{p}_{m_1})N(\mathfrak{p}_{m_2}) \cdots N(\mathfrak{p}_{m_s})$. Let $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{p}_{n_1} \mathfrak{p}_{n_2} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{n_r}$ and $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{p}_{m_1} \mathfrak{p}_{m_2} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{m_s}$. Then $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}$ and $N(\mathfrak{b}) = n$ and $N(\mathfrak{c}) = m$. So

$$\begin{aligned} a(nm) &= \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subset O_K \\ N(\mathfrak{a})=nm}} \chi(\mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c} \subset O_K \\ N(\mathfrak{b})=n \\ N(\mathfrak{c})=m}} \chi(\mathfrak{b})\chi(\mathfrak{c}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{b} \subset O_K \\ N(\mathfrak{b})=n}} \chi(\mathfrak{b}) \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{c} \subset O_K \\ N(\mathfrak{c})=m}} \chi(\mathfrak{c}) \right) = a(n)a(m). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.2. *If p is prime and $e \geq 0$, then*

$$a(p^e) = \begin{cases} (e+1) & \text{if } a(p) = 2 \text{ and } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}, \\ (-1)^e(e+1) & \text{if } a(p) = -2 \text{ and } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}, \\ (-1)^{e/2} & \text{if } e \text{ is even and } p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}, \\ 0 & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ or } e \text{ is odd and } p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. Since $\chi(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ when $N(\mathfrak{a})$ is even, we have $a(2^e) = 0$. For p an odd prime, 2 is a quadratic residue mod p if and only if $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, and it is exactly in this case that (p) splits in O_K .

In the splitting case, let p factor as $\alpha\beta$. Since α and β are the only elements of norm p , the elements of norm p^e are exactly the $e+1$ elements of the form $\alpha^k \beta^l$ where $k+l=e$ and $\chi(\alpha^k \beta^l) = \chi(\alpha)^k \chi(\beta)^l$. Since α and β are conjugate, and hence have the same norm, $\chi(\alpha) = \chi(\beta)$, and so $\chi(\alpha^k \beta^l) = \chi(\alpha)^e$. When $a(p) = 2$, then $\chi(\alpha) = 1$, and when $a(p) = -2$, then $\chi(\alpha) = -1$. There are no other possibilities for $a(p)$ since $\chi(\alpha) = \chi(\beta)$. This gives the first two cases.

Now suppose $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$. There are no ideals of norm p^e when e is odd by Lemma 3.1, because $p^e \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$.

When e is even, the only ideal of norm p^e is $(p^{e/2})$, with factorization $(p)^{e/2}$, since p does not split. Here (p) is the unique ideal of norm p^2 and $\chi(p) = -1$; since $p^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ when $p \equiv \pm 3, \pm 5 \pmod{16}$. Thus $\chi(p^{e/2}) = (-1)^{e/2}$. \square

Remark. It is well-known that in a number field with degree greater than 1 over \mathbb{Q} , the number of positive integers that are norms of ideals has density 0 [9]. This immediately gives that $A(n)$ is almost always 0.

Corollary 5.3. $A(n)$ hits every integer infinitely many times.

Proof. Given any integer $k \geq 2$ consider any prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Then $p^{k-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $9p^{k-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Let $n = (p^{k-1} - 1)/8$ and $m = 9(p^{k-1} - 1)/8$. If $a(p) = 2$ then $A(n) = a(8n + 1) = a(p^{k-1}) = k$ and $A(m) = a(8m + 1) = a(9p^{k-1}) = -k$. If $a(p) = -2$ then $A(n) = a(8n + 1) = a(p^{k-1}) = (-1)^{k+1}k$ and $A(m) = a(8m + 1) = a(9p^{k-1}) = (-1)^k k$. Since there are infinitely many primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, there must be infinitely many p in at least one of these two cases. Thus $A(n)$ hits $\pm k$ infinitely many times.

For the $|k| = 1$ case, consider any $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$. For any even e , we have $p^e \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Let $n = (p^e - 1)/8$, then $A(n) = a(8n + 1) = a(p^e) = (-1)^{e/2}$. So $A(n)$ hits ± 1 infinitely many times. \square

6. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We prove the generating function for L -values (Theorem 1.3) in two steps. Theorem 6.1 is a corollary to Theorem 1.1 which proves the existence and gives an explicit form of the asymptotic expansion of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)e^{-nt}$. Then, independent of Theorem 1.1, we prove that an asymptotic expansion of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)e^{-nt}$ is in fact a generating function for L -values.

Theorem 6.1. As $t \searrow 0$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)e^{-nt} \sim e^{-t} W_1(e^{-8t}) - e^t \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(e^{-8t}; e^{-16t})_n}{(-e^{-16t}; e^{-16t})_n}.$$

Proof. Recall (Theorem 1.1) that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} a(n)q^n = qW_1(q^8) + \frac{1}{q}W_2(q^8). \quad (6.1)$$

We will make the specialization $q = e^{-t}$ and then demonstrate convergence of the resulting t -series. In the first term

$$W_1(e^{-8t}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{e^{-8tn(n+1)/2} (-1)^n (e^{-8t}; e^{-8t})_n}{(-e^{-8t}; e^{-8t})_n}$$

is a convergent t -series since $(e^{-8t}; e^{-8t})_n \rightarrow 0$. For the second term, it can be seen that $W_2(e^{-8t})$ is asymptotically, as $t \searrow 0$, equal to the following convergent t -series when we let $t = q$, $q = q^2$, and $a = -q^2$ in Theorem 1.1 of [5]:

$$W_2(e^{-8t}) \sim \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\frac{(e^{-8t}; e^{-16t})_\infty}{(-e^{-16t}; e^{-16t})_\infty} - \frac{(e^{-8t}; e^{-16t})_n}{(-e^{-16t}; e^{-16t})_n} \right).$$

The first term in the sum goes to 0 as $t \searrow 0$. The result is now just a matter of substituting $q = e^{-t}$ in (6.1), and applying the above observations. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [7]. Note that $L(\chi, s)$ has an analytic continuation to \mathbb{C} . Suppose the asymptotic expansion as $t \searrow 0$ is given by

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} a(n)e^{-nt} \sim \sum_{n \geq 0} c(n)t^n. \quad (6.2)$$

Consider the following integral (assume $\Re(s) > 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} a(n)e^{-nt} \right) t^{s-1} dt &= \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n) \int_0^\infty e^{-nt} t^{s-1} dt \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a(n)}{n^s} \int_0^\infty e^{-T} T^{s-1} dT = \Gamma(s) L(\chi, s), \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

where for the second equality we have made the substitution $T = nt$. We can switch the order of integration and summation in the first equality because we have absolute convergence, which follows from the following linear bound on the $a(n)$'s:

Lemma 6.2. For all n , $a(n) \leq n$.

Proof. It is easily seen by induction that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m+1 \leq 2^m$, and hence $m+1 \leq p^m$ for all primes p .

Factor n as $p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_k^{m_k}$. Then, by the results of Section 5, we see $|a(n)| \leq |a(p_1^{m_1}) a(p_2^{m_2}) \cdots a(p_k^{m_k})| \leq |(m_1+1)(m_2+1) \cdots (m_k+1)| \leq |p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_k^{m_k}| = n$. \square

For any $N > 0$, (6.3) combined with the asymptotic expansion (6.2) implies that for some $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(s) L(\chi, s) &= \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} a(n)e^{-nt} \right) t^{s-1} dt \\ &= \int_0^\varepsilon \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} c(n)t^n \right) t^{s-1} dt + \int_\varepsilon^\infty \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} a(n)e^{-nt} \right) t^{s-1} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

We truncate our asymptotic expansion to break up the first part of the integral as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\varepsilon \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} c(n) t^n \right) t^{s-1} dt &= \int_0^\varepsilon \sum_{n=0}^N c(n) t^{n+s-1} dt + \int_0^\varepsilon O(t^{n+s-1}) dt \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N c(n) \frac{\varepsilon^{n+s}}{n+s} + F(s). \end{aligned}$$

That $f = O(t^{N+s-1})$ means that for some M , we have $f \leq M t^{N+s-1}$. We then have that

$$|F(s)| \leq |M| \left| \int_0^\varepsilon t^{N+s-1} dt \right| = |M| \left| \frac{t^{N+s}}{N+s} \right| \Big|_{t=0}^{t=\varepsilon}$$

which is finite for $\Re(s) > -N$. So $F(s)$ is analytic for $\Re(s) > -N$.

Now consider the second half of (6.4), $G(s) = \int_\varepsilon^\infty (\sum_{n \geq 1} a(n) e^{-nt}) t^{s-1} dt$. By Lemma 6.2, again, the integrand is bounded for any s , and so $G(s)$ is analytic.

So (6.4) becomes

$$\Gamma(s) L(\chi, s) = \sum_{n=0}^N c(n) \frac{\varepsilon^{n+s}}{n+s} + F(s) + G(s),$$

where $F(s) + G(s)$ is analytic. Taking residues of both sides, we find

$$c(n) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} L(\chi, -n). \quad \square$$

Acknowledgments

We thank J. Anderson and J. Lovejoy for their help and guidance. We also thank R. Chatterjee, G. Coogan, W. McGraw, K. Ono, and J. Rouse for their lectures and assistance. We especially thank K. Ono for organizing the 2003 Number Theory Research Experience for Undergraduates (REU) at the University of Wisconsin at Madison during which this research was conducted. The authors thank the following for their generous support: the John S. Guggenheim Foundation, David and Lucile Packard Foundation, Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, National Science Foundation, and University of Wisconsin at Madison.

References

- [1] G. Andrews, *q-series: their development and application in analysis, number theory, combinatorics, physics, and computer algebra*, in: CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Vol. 66, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [2] T. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer, New York, 1984.

- [3] G. Andrews, F. Dyson, D. Hickerson, Partitions and indefinite quadratic forms, *Invent. Math.* 91 (1988) 391–407.
- [4] G. Andrews, D. Hickerson, Sixth-order mock theta functions, *Adv. Math.* 89 (1991) 60–105.
- [5] G. Andrews, J. Jimenez-Urroz, K. Ono, q -series identities and values of certain L -functions, *Duke Math. J.* 108 (2001) 395–419.
- [6] F. Dyson, A Walk Through Ramanujan’s Garden, Lecture given at the Ramanujan Centenary Conference, University of Illinois, June 2, 1987. in: G. Andrews, et al. (Eds.), *Ramanujan Revisted*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1988, pp. 7–28.
- [7] J. Lovejoy, K. Ono, Hypergeometric generating functions for values of Dirichlet and other L -functions, *PNAS* 100 (2003) 6904–6909.
- [8] W. Narkiewicz, *Elementary and Analytic Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, Springer, New York, 1990.
- [9] R. Odoni, On norms of integers in a full module of an algebraic number field and the distribution of values of binary integral quadratic forms, *Mathematika* 22 (1975) 108–111.
- [10] L. Slater, Further identities of the Rogers–Ramanujan type, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 54 (2) (1950) 147–167.